

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webje.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/676,265	10/02/2003	Edward J. Kroliczek	2507-8637,1US (22235-US-0	3460	
60704 7550 05/13/2010 TRASKBRITT, P.C./ ALLIANT TECH SYSTEMS P.O. BOX 2550			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			CIRIC, LJILJANA V		
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/13/2010	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPTOMail@traskbritt.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/676,265 KROLICZEK ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Liiliana (Lil) V. Ciric 3744 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 December 2009 and 24 February 2010. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)\(\times\) Claim(s) 1-3.6-12.16.18-47.49-54.57.59.60.63-65 and 79-85 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 19-23.29-47.49-51.63.80-82 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper ivo(s)rivial Date. _____.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims subject to restriction and/or election requirement are 1-3,6-12,16,18-47,49-54,57,59,60,63-65 and 79-85.

Application/Control Number: 10/676,265 Page 2

Art Unit: 3744

Election/Restrictions

 Receipt and entry of the reply and amendments filed on February 24, 2010 (and previously on December 16, 2009) is hereby acknowledged.

- 2. Claims 1 through 3, 5 through 66, 74, 77, 78, 80 through 82, and 86 were previously withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to the various nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the replies filed on August 19, 2008 and on December 31, 2008.
- 3. However, in the reply and amendments filed on February 24, 2010 (and previously on December 16, 2009), applicants have amended previously withdrawn base claims 1 and 52 in order to make these readable on the elected fifth species.
- 4. Claims 19 through 23, 29 through 47, 49 through 51, 63, and 80 through 82 now stand withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to the various nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the replies filed on August 19, 2008 and on December 31, 2008.
- Upon reconsideration in view of the above amendments to the previously withdrawn claims, an additional restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1 through 3, 6 through 12, 16, 18 through 47, 49 through 54, 57, 59, 60, and 63 through 65 (of which claims 19 through 23, 29 through 47, 49 through 51, and 63 are already stand withdrawn as being drawn to various non-elected species), drawn to an evaporator including an intermediate liquid fluent heat exchange material and a wick, classified in class 165, subclass 104.26.
 - II. Claims 79 through 85 (of which claims 80 through 82 already stand withdrawn as being drawn to various non-elected species), drawn to a method of transferring heat using an

Application/Control Number: 10/676,265 Page 3

Art Unit: 3744

intermediate liquid fluent heat exchange material and including means to move the heat exchange material in a liquid state, classified in class 165, subclass 104.22.

- 6. Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus that is Invention I as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process than that which is Invention II as claimed, namely a process which does not necessarily require pumping the liquid from the liquid flow channel through the primary wick but rather one where the liquid flows into the wick due to the action of gravity. Alternately, the method that is Invention II as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus than that which is Invention I as claimed, such as one which necessarily includes pumping means to move the heat exchange fluid.
- 7. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above <u>and</u> there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:
 - (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
 - (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
 - (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
 - (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
 - (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Art Unit: 3744

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 9. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/676,265

Art Unit: 3744

will be considered for rejoinder. <u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ljiljana (Lil) V. Ciric whose telephone number is 571-272-4909. The examiner works a flexible work schedule but can normally be reached on most days during the work week between the hours of 10:30 a.m. and 6:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl J. Tyler can be reached on 571-272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Art Unit: 3744

Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR

CANADA) or 571-272-1000. /Ljiljana (Lil) V. Ciric/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3744